	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/799,174	LANE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DONNIE L. CROSLAND	2612
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>DONNIE L. CROSLAND</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>FRED LANE (AGENT)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 06 February 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>22-44</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: BASILE ET AL (6042005); ROTHSCHILD ET AL (2003/0097351).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		•
•		·
	DONNIE PRIMA	EL. CROSLAND RY EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	· 	
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required

Application No. 10/799,174

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Three points of issue 1)centralized database; 2)encryption/decription; 30 purging. With respect to issue 1, it was agreed that a centralized data base would be positively recited; issue 2, it was agreed that encryption/decryption would be recited with respect to last enrollment (claim 26); issue 3, it was agreed that purging would be reited with respect to enrollment in figure 1so that child is protected and information at enrollment is no longer available. Amendments with respect to the claims would be made. The examiner indicated that update search would be made.